UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/551,399	08/08/2006	Brian C. Case	003006-001783	3287	
	7590 10/08/201 ardt, Moriarty, McNett	EXAMINER			
111 Monument Circle, Suite 3700			SHARMA, YASHITA		
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3774		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/08/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketDept@uspatent.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/551,399	CASE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
YASHITA SHARMA	3774	

	TASHITA SHARWA	3774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	vhich places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropring inally set in the final Office	te extension fee ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	•		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further col 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration because: See Continuation Sheet.	ered but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for	allowance
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Alvin J Stewart/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 3774	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments point to the limitation "an array of penetrating elements... that extends longitudinally proximate a first edge of a material." Furthermore, the applicant argues that, "claimed array, with its specified width and its penetrating elements... in the direction of this width, is proximate one particular edge (i.e., the 'first edge'." However, the claim 26 just claims "a first edge" and not a particular edge that can not have a second edge or an edge opposite the first edge. The claim does not preclude two opposing edges. Furthermore, Lucatero is only relied on to teach an array or orderly arrangement of penetrating elements, the first edge is already disclosed in the Pavcnik reference. Therefore, Pavcnik in view of Lucatero teach the first edge (Pavcnik) having an array of barbs (Lucatero).